

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FII | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|--------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/781,953 | 781,953 02/14/2001 | | Atsushi Murakami | P 277864 | 9766 | |
| 23117 | 7590 | 06/27/2003 | | | | |
| NIXON & | | • | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22201-4714 | | | | MILLER, PATRICK L | | |
| | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 2837 | . | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003 | DATE MAILED: 06/27/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | | | | | | |
| Office Action Commons | 09/781,953 | MURAKAMI ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Patrick Miller | 2837 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 A</u> | <u> April 2003</u> . | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th | is action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11, - | 100 O.G. 210. | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,7,11 and 13-18 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,7,11 and 13-18</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | | 1. M. = | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 14 February 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| , | armior. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | n priority upder 35 LLS C & 110/s | u)-(d) or (f) | | | | | |
| 13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | | ed. | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(| e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/781,953

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The indicated allowability of claims 2, 6, 10, and 12-16 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Herrington et al (5,032,622). The Examiner regrets the initial indication of allowability of the aforementioned claim. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 7, 11, and 13-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Herrington et al (5,032,622) in view of Osanai et al (6,237,717) [cited in previous action].
 - Herrington et al disclose a shape foam memory foam member made of polyurethane foam and method (Title and Abstract) with the following characteristics: a bulk density that is not more than 400 kg/m³ (Col. 1, lines 36-39); said member has an original shape and is compressed with heating, cooled while in the compressed state, released from the compressed state after cooling, and the original shape is recovered by heating (Abstract).
 - Herrington et al do not disclose said member having a coefficient of water absorption
 between .01g/cm³ and .2g/cm³, said member is provided on a surfaced of an engine
 soundproof cover (claims 3 and 7), and the shape of said member is recovered by engine
 heat (claims 17 and 18).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/781,953

Art Unit: 2837

٤

- Osanai et al disclose a noise-insulating member made of polyurethane foam that covers an engine, where said insulating member has a 125g/cm³ coefficient of water absorption. Specifically, Table 1, #2 begins with a foam density of 1 g/cm³. Next, referring to Table 2, #2 has an increase of +25.3%, making the coefficient of water absorption .125 g/cm³, which is within the disclosed range of .01g/cm³ to .2g/cm³. The motivation for providing a foam member with a .125g/cm³ coefficient of water absorption is to prevent the foam from significantly retaining water, which provides the advantage of increasing the foam shape's density a smaller percentage than that of comparative examples (Comparing Tables 3 and 4).
- With respect to claims 17 and 18, Herrington et al disclose the transition temperature, Tg being 35° C (95° F). A person of ordinary skill in the art would know that the operating temperature of an engine is above 35° C.
- Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the shape memory foam of Herrington et al so that it exhibits characteristics of water repellency, wherein the coefficient of water absorption is .125g/cm³, which falls into the range of .01g/cm³ to .2g/cm³, thereby providing the advantage of increasing the foam shape's density by a smaller percentage, as taught by Osanai et al. Additionally, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the shape memory foam of Herrington et al can be fitted to a soundproof cover that fits over an engine, and the engine provides the heat to recover the original shape of the foam member, thereby providing the advantage of

Application/Control Number: 09/781,953

Art Unit: 2837

preventing undesirable noise from entering the passenger compartment, as taught by Osanai et al.

With respect to claims 13-16, Herrington et al disclose the member having a bulk density that is not more than 150 kg/m³ (Col. 1, lines 36-39).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick Miller whose telephone number is 703-308-4931. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on 703-308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-3431.

> Patrick Miller Examiner Art Unit 2837

June 20, 2003

ROBERT E. NAPPI JPÉRVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**